

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARK ANTHONY CANDLER,

Plaintiff,

v.

SANTA RITA COUNTY JAIL WATCH
COMMANDER, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: C 11-1992 CW (PR)

ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

Plaintiff, a state prisoner incarcerated at Centinela State Prison, filed this pro se civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, complaining about his conditions of confinement during the period of his incarceration as a pretrial detainee at the Santa Rita County Jail (SRCJ). On October 9, 2013, the Court issued an order denying without prejudice Plaintiff's motion to file an amended complaint and Defendants' motion for summary judgment and referred discovery disputes to a magistrate judge. See Doc. no. 58. In the Order, the Court stated, "Plaintiff may wait until the resolution of the discovery disputes to file an amended complaint to name the proper Defendants. However, he must do so within twenty-one days after the resolution of the disputes. . . . If Plaintiff does not file a Second Amended Complaint (SAC) within twenty-one days after the resolution of the discovery disputes, his case will go forward on the basis of his First Amended Complaint (FAC). If the FAC remains the operative complaint, Defendants may refile their present motion for summary judgment." Id. at 6-7.

1 On May 21, 2014, the Magistrate Judge entered an order
2 resolving the discovery disputes. See Doc. no. 63. More than
3 twenty-one days have passed and Plaintiff has not filed a SAC.
4 Therefore, the FAC remains the operative complaint and Defendants
5 shall refile their summary judgment motion.

6 CONCLUSION

7 For the reasons stated above, the Court orders as follows:

8 1. Within seven days from the date of this Order, Defendants
9 shall refile their motion for summary judgment. Defendants shall
10 comply with the Ninth Circuit's decision in Woods v. Carey, 684
11 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2012) and provide Plaintiff with notice of
12 what is required of him to oppose a summary judgment motion.

13 2. Plaintiff's opposition to the motion for summary judgment
14 shall be filed with the Court and served on Defendants no later
15 than twenty-eight days after the date on which Defendants' motion
16 is filed.

17 Before filing his opposition, Plaintiff is advised to read
18 the notice that will be provided to him by Defendants when the
19 motion is filed, and Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil
20 Procedure and Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986)
21 (party opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence
22 showing triable issues of material fact on every essential
23 element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned that because he
24 bears the burden of proving his allegations in this case, he must
25 be prepared to produce evidence in support of those allegations
26 when he files his opposition to Defendants' summary judgment
27 motion. Such evidence may include sworn declarations from
28 himself and other witnesses to the incident, and copies of

documents authenticated by sworn declaration. Plaintiff will not be able to avoid summary judgment simply by repeating the allegations of his complaint.

3. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than fourteen days after the date Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

4. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/18/2014


CLAUDIA WILKEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
Northern District of California